

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,227	06/26/2003	Yasuhiro Nakahara	500.42883X00	3755	
	7590 03/30/2007 STANGER MALLIR &	EXAMINER			
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E		
SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA	A VA 22314	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE			
		2143			
·					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/30/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

The state of the s	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	10/606,227		NAKAHARA, YASUHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	<b>A</b>	Art Unit				
•	-						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Joseph E. Avellin		2143 correspondence ao	ldress			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, howevill apply and will expire cause the application to	DMMUNICATION  ever, may a reply be tin  SIX (6) MONTHS from  b become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ju</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	ine 2003. action is non-fina	al.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been rece s have been rece ity documents ha ı (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Applicati ave been receive (a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:					

Art Unit: 2143

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Claims 1-6 are presented for examination; claims 1-4, and 6 independent.

## **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are not statutory.

4. As outlined in the Interim Guidelines, now incorporated into MPEP 2106. A claim may be statutory only if it provides a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. As such, the result of claims 1-3 is merely the derivation of the cheapest system configuration, which is neither useful, nor tangible. The derivation is not useful because it is not provided in such a manner such that it can be utilized by a person, application, device, etc. Merely deriving a value is not considered tangible because it is not stored or used in any practical application of the derivation. Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,227

Art Unit: 2143

5. Claim 4 is considered statutory because after the derivation of the cheapest system configuration, the system configuration is changed on the basis of the derivation, thereby producing a useful, concrete, and tangible result to the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mummert et al. (USPN 6,907,607) (hereinafter Mummert).

7. Referring to claim 1, Mummert discloses a method of deriving a system configuration comprising:

predicting a response time from issuing of a processing request to end of its processing on the basis of an occurrence frequency of processing requests to computer systems and computer system configurations (i.e. projecting capacity of computer resources for a plurality of processing systems, thereby determining the life expectancy

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/606,227

Art Unit: 2143

of the configuration with the particular loads) (e.g. abstract; Figure 7, ref. 704; col. 5, line 66 to col. 6, line 10);

Page 4

calculating costs of the system configurations on the basis of the system configurations (i.e. recalculate life expectancy after configurations have been updated) (col. 7, lines 32-39); and

deriving a cheapest system configuration from the system configurations having a probability equal to or lower than B with respect to a given response time A and a probability B given as a probability of processing having a response time equal to or longer than A for all the processing requests (i.e. establish a minimum life expectancy for all of the system, and move the systems which are below some minimum T to above T if possible) (col. 7, lines 40-67).

8. Claims 2, 3, 4, and 6 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

Furthermore there is not patentable difference between claims with different representative characters for probabilities and values. Furthermore Mummert discloses dynamically changing the system configuration (i.e. automatically move systems below the minimum threshold T to above T if possible) (col. 7, lines 40-45).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2143

. . .

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mummert in view of Cooper (USPN 6,823,516).

10. Mummert discloses the invention substantively as described in the claims above. Mummert does not specifically disclose starting or stopping a system element. In analogous art, cooper discloses starting and stopping configuration elements based on performance states (e.g. abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Cooper with Mummert, since Mummert discloses that the only way to increase life expectancy when all the systems are below T is to add capacity (col. 7, lines 46-47). Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would find it useful to incorporate Cooper's processor performance states into the system of Mummert, thereby allowing systems to operate at a plurality of performance states (including on/off) to provide an efficient cost-effective method of configuring a system.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

Art Unit: 2143

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, gall 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joseph E. A√ellino, Examiner

March 7, 2007